## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) CASTANAS, ELIAS	
10/522,215		
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID LUKTON	1654	

	DAVID LUKTON	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ience with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> </ol>			cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		I E below);	
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled</li> </ul>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.	F. F.O.		
Claim(s) objected to: 29,30,32,33,35-47,49,50,52,53 and 5 Claim(s) rejected: 28,34,48,54,60 and 61.	<u>55-59</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The Reviberse     The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached sheets.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
	/David Lukton/		

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654